UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,227	08/03/2006	Tetsuhiro Ishikawa	128935	5418
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 12/27/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	SLIFKA, COLIN W		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,227	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	COLIN W. SLIFKA	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2 and 6-12 is/are pending in the approved 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2006</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing the Examing Sheet (s) including the corresponding to th	e: a) accepted or b) ob e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 16, 2010 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Instant claim 9 depends upon cancelled claim 1. For purposes of examination, it is considered that claim 9 is intended to depend from independent claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugiura et al (US 2002/0038732) in view of Peng (US 7,130,205) and further in view of Tsuchiya (JP 2003-235252).

Sugiura teaches a dc power supply using a fuel cell, wherein the fuel cell is connected to a rechargeable/dischargeable battery via a DC-DC converter (par. 7 and Fig. 1).

While Sugiura discloses that the output voltage of the DC-DC converter can be varied (par. 42), Sugiura does not teach that the converter comprises a plurality of phases.

Peng teaches that three-phase bridge converters are typical voltage converters used with batteries, fuel cell stacks, etc. (col. 1, lines 19-22). Traditional three-phase voltage converters include six switches, which are controlled by a control unit to provide a desired output (col. 1, lines 25-32). The invention of Peng includes a switch array that is controlled by a control unit to provide single or multiple phase power (col. 3, lines 65-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a three-phase bridge converter in the invention of Sugiura in order to be able to vary the phases and achieve the desired output, as Peng teaches that such converters are commonly used with fuel cells.

Neither Sugiura nor Peng explicitly describe a control method of the system incorporating varying the phases.

Tsuchiya teaches a method of operating a DC-DC converter, wherein a controller controls a "master" DC-DC converter and "slave" DC-DC converters on the basis of the requested output voltage from the inverter, the input-output current voltage information from the current/voltage sensors, the battery voltage information from the voltage

Art Unit: 1732

sensor, and others (Abstract). More specifically, Tsuchiya teaches that the master DC-DC converter is made to "certainly drive," and performs adjustment of the number with slave DC-DC converters (par. 27, lines 2-3). The number, N, is a predetermined number based upon several calculations and determines the control method while maintaining maximum efficiency (pars. 21-26). Operation of the various DC-DC converters is based upon the value of the number, N (par. 27, lines 3-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the control method of Tsuchiya in the hybrid fuel cell system of Sugiura and Peng in order to optimize the operating efficiency where at least one to three different phases can be utilized based on need.

Regarding claims 2, 6, and 10, Peng uses the term "converter" to generally include DC-DC, AC-AC, AC-DC, and DC-AC converters (col. 3, lines 46-49). As such, the converter of Peng is capable of handling all types of current. It is assumed that any control method set by an operator or programmer would conduct the phase changes in a synchronized manner. Furthermore, the control unit of Peng is considered to be capable of conducting the phase changes in a synchronized manner and alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a controller that changes the number of phases in a synchronized manner for the sake of organization and efficiency, as the alternative of a "synchronized" control is a delayed, disorderly, and "out-of-tune" control.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 11, and 12, Tsuchiya teaches that if N=1, only the master DC-DC converter will be in operation, and if N=2, both the master DC-DC converter 31

and the slave DC-DC converter 32 will be in operation, and finally, if N=3, the master DC-DC converter 31 and both of the slave DC-DC converters 32 and 33 will be operational (par. 27, lines 3-6).

With regards to claim 9, the fuel cell of Sugiura is considered to be connected to load equipment to power the vehicle. At the very least it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to connect the fuel cell to load equipment because that is how all fuel cells deliver the generated power.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 27, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the rejection of record does not teach instant claim 10. Said arguments are made in three parts, A, B, and C, respectively.

Regarding part A, Examainer states in the previous action that the instant claims base the operation of the converter on a value "equivalent to" the actual power passing through the converter. "Equivalent to" is not considered to be "actual," and therefore, Applicant's arguments are moot. Examiner further stated that even if the instant claims were drawn to the "actual power," as argued by Applicant, such an argument is not persuasive. While it is true that Tsuchiya teaches the controller 4 controls the DC-DC converters based on the demand output voltage from the inverter 2, Tsuchiya also teaches that controller 4 controls the converters based upon input/output current voltage information from current/voltage sensors 5 and 6, and battery voltage information,

among others. Said current/voltage sensors are considered able to determine the power passing through the converters.

Regarding part B, Applicant argues that Peng does not teach that each of the phases in the voltage converter has an alternating current and has a different "phase shift" with respect to the other phases. Applicant further asserts that Peng is merely capable of handling various types of current. Examiner respectfully disagrees and refers to the rejection of record, which states "Peng teaches that three-phase bridge converters are typical voltage converters used with batteries, fuel cell stacks, etc. (col. 1, lines 19-22). Traditionally three-phase voltage converters include six switches, which are controlled by a control unit to provide a desired output (col. 1, lines 25-32). The invention of Peng includes a switch array that is controlled by a control unit to provide single or multiple phase power (col. 3, lines 65-67)." Examiner considers this to constitute a teaching of the instant claim.

Regarding part C, Applicant argues that the art of record does not teach that the change of number of phases is conducted in a synchronized manner. Applicant alleges that Examiner merely assumes that any control method would conduct the phase changes in a synchronized manner. Examiner disagrees, insofar as the controller in the rejection of record is considered to be capable of conducting the phase changes in a synchronized manner, as described above. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a controller that changes the number of phases in a synchronized manner for the sake of organization and efficiency, as described above.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,227 Page 7

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to COLIN W. SLIFKA whose telephone number is (571)270-5830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Melvin Curtis Mayes can be reached on 571-272-1234. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/COLIN W SLIFKA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1732

December 19, 2010

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1732